ElevateBio Basecamp® 200 Smith Street, Waltham MA 02451, US.

Evaluating Buoyancy Activated Cell Sorting (BACS) for T cell Isolation in **CAR-T** Manufacturing

Jeff Cram, Sharlene Amador, Chesney Michels, Supriya Prakash, Mercedes Segura, Mike Paglia

INTRODUCTION

- * As the CAR T and TCR landscape evolves, robust manufacturing solutions that enable increased access to these transformative therapies through reduced cost and complexity must be developed. Rigorous process development and characterization is critical when identifying these solutions to deliver a safe and potent drug product to patients.
- Traditional autologous CAR T and TCR cell therapy manufacturing begins with T cell isolation from patient leukapheresis material. The most common isolation technique used is Magnetic Activated Cell Sorting (MACS), a process by which magnetic nanoparticles, coated with antibodies, select specific cells that are then separated by application of a magnetic force. MACS cell separation requires costly equipment, magnetic columns and reagents, and skilled manufacturing operators to perform multiple complex steps.
- * An alternative cell separation strategy that may improve manufacturability of these therapies is the isolation of T cells by negative selection using BACS. Buoyancy Activated Cell Sorting (BACS) utilizes antibody coated microbubbles to bind and remove contaminating cell types in the starting material (B cells, monocytes, granulocytes and red blood cells). The microbubbles are devoid of animal material and produced under cGMP conditions making them suitable for use in cell therapy. They are composed of a thin glass polystyrene microsphere which encapsulates a gaseous sphere rendering them buoyant in the surrounding buffer. The microbubble bound cells float to the top layer of the

MATERIALS AND METHODS



cell suspension leaving the "untouched" T cells at the bottom and allowing both the microbubbles and bound cells to be easily removed by evacuating the top layer of buffer.

- In addition to effective T cell isolation, unlike direct immunomagnetic labelling which leaves the beads attached to target cells and may interfere with characterization assays such as flow cytometry, negatively selected T cells are free of bound antibody and more suitable for a wide variety of downstream assays immediately post selection. This potential benefit may also render negatively selected cells to be more appropriate for shorter T cell processes.
- The purpose of this work was to evaluate the feasibility of this novel BACS technology and characterize the performance of T cells isolated using BACS to those isolated using MACS in a GMP representative CAR T manufacturing process. Additionally, we evaluate the performance of these GMP Microbubbles to isolate untouched T cells directly from whole blood. Whole blood is a potential alternative starting material source that is less invasive and costly than traditional leukapheresis but poses a processing challenge due to the large volume of red blood cells.

Created with BioRender (BioRender.com)

[Fig. 1] Selection Process. The above diagram describes the unit operation for Magnetic Activated Cell Sorting (MACS) for positive selection and Buoyancy Activated Cell Sorting (BACS) for negative selection. Both methods were used to isolate T-cells, either by positive or negative cell separation, respectively.

T CELL RECOVERY Whole Blood Leukapheresis B 53.4% 54.6%



[Fig. 2] T Cell Recovery. The results represent the average percent recovery of CD3⁺ T cells from Leukapheresis (A), and Whole Blood (B), respectively.

RESIDUAL IMPURITTIES



[Fig. 3] Leukocyte Purity. Figure 3A, depicts the Leukapheresis phenotype for preand-post cell separation. Figure 3B, depicts the Whole Blood phenotype for pre-

CAR EXPRESSION AND MEMORY PHENOTYPE





and-post cell separation. The groups compared are Apheresis, Magnetic Activated Cell Sorting (MACS) positive fraction, and Apheresis/Whole Blood Buoyancy Activated Cell Sorting (BACS) negative fraction. The results represent the mean process phenotype and T cell purity across 2 donors for Whole Blood and 3 donors for Leukapheresis, respectively.

RED BLOOD CELL REDUCTION



[Fig. Cell Blood led **Reduction**. The results represent the mean volume reduction of Red Blood Cell per 100mL starting (A, B) material processed by different selection methods. Aph, Leukapheresis; WB, Whole Blood.

[Fig. 7] Transduction Efficiency and Drug product phenotype. Transduction efficiency was similar independent of T cell isolation process (A). Transduced CD19 CAR T-cells generated from MACS and BACS starting material had similar CD4/CD8 (B & C) ratios and Memory phenotype (D & E). Drug product from Whole Blood enriched T cells were not evaluated.



[Fig. 4] Leukocyte Depletion. The results represent the average percent depletion of B-cells, Granulocytes, and Monocytes from Leukapheresis (A, C, E; 3 donors), and Whole Blood (B, D, F; 2 donors), respectively.

enriched from Whole Blood demonstrated poor or delayed expansion due to the increasing amounts of residual RBC (data not shown).

RESULTS AND SUMMARY	REFERENCES	LEARN MORE
 Starting with either Leukapheresis or Whole Blood, BACS yielded similar T cell recovery to MACS (Fig. 2). BACS produced CD3⁺ T-cells with higher purity in comparison to MACS (Fig. 3 and 4). The growth kinetics between BACS and MACS demonstrated similar expansion characteristics (Fig. 6). BACS and MACS isolated T cells were equally efficient at expressing the CD19 CAR T cell receptor (Fig. 7A). There was no phenotypic difference between groups isolated with either technology (Fig. 7B-E). Additional optimization of BACS Microbubbles is needed to attain the RBC depletion needed for subsequent drug product manufacturing from Whole Blood (Fig. 5). In summary, BACS has great potential to be used as an alternative cell separation technology to MACS for T cell isolation. Additional T cell functional characterization should be evaluated. 	 (1043) Ancillary Materials for Cell, Gene, and Tissue-Engineered Products, https://doi.org/10.31003/uspnf_m620_02_01. Cost comparison of separation methods and cell sorter price. Akadeum Life Sciences. (2023, March 9). <u>https://www.akadeum.com</u> Fu, C., Shi, G., & Liu, YT. (2021). Manufacturing anti-cd19 car-TSCM cells for immunotherapy using innovative microbubble-based technologies for Precision Cell Processing. Blood, 138(Supplement 1), 3889–3889. Liou, YR., et al. 2015. Buoyancy-activated cell sorting using targeted biotinylated albumin microbubbles. PLOS ONE, 10(5). Pezzi, H. M., et al. 2018. Integration of magnetic bead-based cell selection into complex isolations. ACS Omega, 3(4), 3908–3917. 	<image/> <text><text></text></text>